

NONPOSITIVELY CURVED HERMITIAN METRICS ON PRODUCT MANIFOLDS

CHENGJIE YU

ABSTRACT. In this article, we classify all the Hermitian metrics on a complex product manifold $M = X \times Y$ with nonpositive holomorphic bisectional curvature. It is a generalization of a result by Zheng [2].

In this article, using a trick as in Tam-Yu[1], we prove the following generalization of the result theorem in Zheng [2].

Theorem 0.1. *Let $M = X \times Y$ with X and Y both compact complex manifolds. Let $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_r$ be a basis of $H^{1,0}(X)$ and $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_s$ be a basis of $H^{1,0}(Y)$. Then, for any Hermitian metric h on M with nonpositive holomorphic bisectional curvature,*

$$\omega_h = \pi_1^* \omega_{h_1} + \pi_2^* \omega_{h_2} + \rho + \bar{\rho}$$

where h_1 and h_2 are Hermitian metrics on X and Y with nonpositive holomorphic bisectional curvature respectively, π_1 and π_2 are natural projections from M to X and from M to Y respectively, and

$$\rho = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{l=1}^s a_{kl} \phi_k \wedge \psi_l$$

with a_{kl} 's are complex numbers.

Before the proof of Theorem 0.1, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 0.1. *Let X^m and Y^n be two compact complex manifolds. Let $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_r$ be a basis of $H^{1,0}(X)$ and $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_s$ be a basis of $H^{1,0}(Y)$. Let*

$$\rho = \rho_{ij}(x, y) dx^i \wedge dy^j$$

be a global holomorphic two form on $X \times Y$, where $(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^m)$ is a local holomorphic coordinate of X , and $(y^1, y^2, \dots, y^n)$ is a local

Date: Jan 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53B25; Secondary 53C40.

Key words and phrases. complex products, Kähler manifolds, bisectional curvature, nonpositive curvature.

holomorphic coordinate of Y . Then

$$(0.1) \quad \rho = \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{l=1}^s a_{kl} \phi_k \wedge \psi_l$$

where a_{kl} 's are complex numbers.

Proof. Fix a local holomorphic coordinate $(y^1, y^2, \dots, y^n)$ of Y , it is clear that

$$\theta_j = \sum_{i=1}^m \rho_{ij}(x, y) dx^i$$

is a global holomorphic 1-form on $X \times \{y\}$. Then

$$(0.2) \quad \theta_j = \sum_{k=1}^r b_{kj}(y) \phi_k$$

where b_{kj} 's are local holomorphic functions on Y .

It is clear that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n b_{kj}(y) dy^j$$

is a global holomorphic 1-form on Y for each k . So,

$$(0.3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n b_{kj}(y) dy^j = \sum_{l=1}^s a_{kl} \psi_l$$

where a_{kl} 's are complex numbers. Therefore

$$(0.4) \quad \rho = \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j \wedge dy^j = \sum_k^r \sum_{l=1}^s a_{kl} \phi_k \wedge \psi_l.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 0.1. Let $(z^{m+1}, \dots, z^{m+n})$ be a local holomorphic coordinate of Y at q . Then, it is clear that

$$(0.5) \quad h_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(x, q)$$

is a positive function on $X \times \{q\}$, where $m+1 \leq \alpha \leq m+n$.

Let Δ be the complex Laplacian on $X \times \{q\}$ and $(z^1, z^2, \dots, z^m)$ be a holomorphic coordinate of X such that

$$h_{i\bar{j}}(x, q) = \delta_{i\bar{j}}$$

with $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. Then

$$(0.6) \quad \Delta h_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(x, q) = \sum_{i=1}^m \partial_i \bar{\partial}_i h_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} = - \sum_{i=1}^m R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}i\bar{i}} + \sum_{i=1}^m h^{\bar{b}a} \partial_i h_{\alpha\bar{b}} \bar{\partial}_i h_{a\bar{\alpha}} \geq 0,$$

with $1 \leq a, b \leq n + m$. By maximum principle, $h_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}(x, q)$ is a constant function. Hence

$$(0.7) \quad \partial_i h_{\alpha\bar{b}} = 0.$$

Interchange the roles of X and Y in the above, we get

$$(0.8) \quad \partial_\alpha h_{i\bar{b}} = 0.$$

By the (0.7), we know that

$$(0.9) \quad \partial_i h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = 0$$

for any $m + 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n + m$. So, $h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ is independent of z^i 's. Then, $h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ is a Hermitian metric on Y . It is clear that $h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ as a Hermitian metric on Y is of nonpositive holomorphic bisectional curvature since holomorphic bisectional curvature decreases on complex submanifolds. We denote this metric as h_2 .

Similarly, by (0.8) $h_{i\bar{j}}$ is a Hermitian metric on X with nonpositive holomorphic bisectional curvature. We denote it as h_1 .

By (0.8) and (0.7), we have

$$\partial_\alpha h_{i\bar{\beta}} = 0, \text{ and } \partial_{\bar{i}} h_{j\bar{\alpha}} = 0.$$

So, the form $h_{i\bar{\alpha}} dz^i \wedge dz^{\bar{\alpha}}$ is a holomorphic two form on $M_1 \times \overline{M_2}$ where $\overline{M_2}$ is the complex conjugate of M_2 . By the lemma above, we know that

$$(0.10) \quad h_{i\bar{\alpha}} dz^i \wedge dz^{\bar{\alpha}} = \sum_{k=1}^{q_1} \sum_{l=1}^{q_2} a_{kl} \phi_k \wedge \bar{\psi}_l.$$

Hence, we get the conclusion. $\square$

The same as in Zheng [2], we have the following consequence of the theorem.

Corollary 0.1.

$$\text{codim}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{H}(M_1) \times \mathcal{H}(M_2), \mathcal{H}(M_1 \times M_2)) = 2h^{1,0}(M_1) \cdot h^{1,0}(M_2)$$

where M_1, M_2 are compact complex manifolds, and suppose that $\mathcal{H}(M_i) \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. For any $h \in \mathcal{H}(M_1 \times M_2)$, by the theorem, it has a unique decomposition,

$$\omega_h = \pi_1^* \omega_{h_1} + \pi_2^* \omega_{h_2} + \rho + \bar{\rho}$$

where $\rho = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{q_1} \sum_{j=1}^{q_2} a_{ij} \phi_i \wedge \bar{\psi}_j$ with $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$, $h_i \in \mathcal{H}(M_i)$. So, we get a map

$$(0.11) \quad \mathcal{H}(M_1 \times M_2) \rightarrow M(q_1 \times q_2; \mathbb{C}), \quad h \mapsto (a_{ij})_{q_1 \times q_2}.$$

It is clear $\mathbb{R}^+$ -linear. (Note that $\mathcal{H}(M_1 \times M_2)$ is a convex cone.) So, it induce a linear map of real vector spaces,

$$\Psi : \langle \mathcal{H}(M_1 \times M_2) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow M(q_1 \times q_2; \mathbb{C}).$$

It is clear that

$$(0.12) \quad \ker \Psi = \langle \mathcal{H}(M_1) \times \mathcal{H}(M_2) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Moreover, let $E_{kl} = (a_{ij})$ be such that $a_{ij} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}$. Note that

$$(0.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \pi_1^* \omega_{h_1} + \pi_2^* \omega_{h_2} + \sqrt{-1}(\phi_k + \psi_l) \wedge \overline{(\phi_k + \psi_l)} \\ &= [\pi_1^* \omega_{h_1} + \sqrt{-1}\phi_k \wedge \bar{\phi}_k] + [\pi_1^* \omega_{h_2} + \sqrt{-1}\psi_l \wedge \bar{\psi}_l] + \sqrt{-1}\phi_k \wedge \bar{\psi}_l + \sqrt{-1}\psi_l \wedge \bar{\phi}_k. \end{aligned}$$

So, E_{kl} is in the image of Ψ . Similarly, $\sqrt{-1}E_{kl}$ is also in the image of Ψ . Therefore, Ψ is surjective. By the dimension theorem in linear algebra, we get the identity.

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Tam, Luen-Fai; Yu, Chengjie. *Complex Product Manifolds and Bounds of Curvature*. arXiv: 0909.5282.
- [2] Zheng, F., *Non-positively curved Kähler metrics on product manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **137** (1993) 671–673.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANTOU UNIVERSITY, SHANTOU, GUANGDONG, CHINA

E-mail address: cjyu@stu.edu.cn